STUDENT NAME: STUDENT ID: SECTION NUMBER:

ECON 4261: Midterm

Thursday Feb 23, 2021 Good Luck!

Instructions

- Write your name, student ID, and section number on this page above and on your answer booklets.
- Write all your answers in the booklet provided.
- Please ensure that your answers are **neat** and **legible**.
- Please place this exam inside your answer booklet before you submit it.

Setup for all questions

Suppose that you have an iid sample: $(W_n, F_n)_{n=1}^N$ where W_n is person n's hourly wage and F_n is a binary variable equal to 1 if person n is female and 0 otherwise.

Consider the linear model

$$\mathbb{E}[\log(W_n)|F_n] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 F_n.$$

(a) Consider the null hypothesis that $\mathbb{E}[\log(W_n)|F_n = 1] = \mathbb{E}[\log(W_n)|F_n = 0]$. Show that this is equivalent to $\beta_1 = 0$. (2 points)

(b) Carefully describe how you would estimate the parameters β . You can be concise, just describe how you would get $\hat{\beta}$ from the data in a way that someone else could replicate. Do not just say what command you would use in the R programming language. (2 points)

(c) What is the expected value of $\hat{\beta}$? (1 point)

(d) What is the probability limit of $\hat{\beta}$ as $N \to \infty$? Which theorem guarantees this? (2 points)

(e) What is the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\beta}$? Which theorem guarantees this? (2 points)

(f) Carefully describe a two-sided, size α test of the null hypothesis from part (a) using your data. Again, you can be concise, but you must provide enough details that someone else could replicate your approach. Do not just say what command you would use in the R programming language. (4 points)

(g) Suppose that:

$$\hat{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta, \hat{V}_{\hat{\beta}}).$$

Consider the following confidence interval:

$$\widehat{CI} = [\hat{\beta}_1 - z_{\alpha/3} \times \sqrt{\hat{v}_1}, \hat{\beta}_1 + z_{2\alpha/3} \times \sqrt{\hat{v}_1}]$$

where \hat{v}_1 is taken from the second row and second column of the matrix $\hat{V}_{\hat{\beta}}$. Show that this is a valid $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for $\hat{\beta}_1$. (4 points)

(h) Why would the the usual (symmetric) confidence interval be considered preferable to the one described in part (g)? (1 point)

(i) Recall that

$$\epsilon_n = \log(W_n) - \mathbb{E}[\log(W_n)|F_n].$$

Now suppose that

$$\epsilon_n = (1 - F_n)\epsilon_{n,0} + F_n\epsilon_{n,1}$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{n,0}^2] = \sigma^2, \ \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{n,1}^2] = 2\sigma^2.$$

Use this to calculate $\mathbb{V}[\epsilon|F_n]$. Based on this, does the assumption of homoskedasticity hold in this case? (2 point)